

EXPLAINING EXTREME EVENTS OF 2016 FROM A CLIMATE PERSPECTIVE

Editors

Stephanie C. Herring, Nikolaos Christidis, Andrew Hoell, James P. Kossin,
Carl J. Schreck III, and Peter A. Stott

Special Supplement to the

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

Vol. 99, No. 1, January 2018

AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

CORRESPONDING EDITOR:

Stephanie C. Herring, PhD
NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information
325 Broadway, E/CC23, Rm 1B-131
Boulder, CO 80305-3328
E-mail: stephanie.herring@noaa.gov

COVER CREDIT:

©The Ocean Agency / XL Catlin Seaview Survey / Christophe Bailhache—A panoramic image of coral bleaching at Lizard Island on the Great Barrier Reef, captured by The Ocean Agency / XL Catlin Seaview Survey / Christophe Bailhache in March 2016.

HOW TO CITE THIS DOCUMENT

Citing the complete report:

Herring, S. C., N. Christidis, A. Hoell, J. P. Kossin, C. J. Schreck III, and P. A. Stott, Eds., 2018: Explaining Extreme Events of 2016 from a Climate Perspective. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **99** (1), S1–S157.

Citing a section (example):

Quan, X.W., M. Hoerling, L. Smith, J. Perlwitz, T. Zhang, A. Hoell, K. Wolter, and J. Eischeid, 2018: Extreme California Rains During Winter 2015/16: A Change in El Niño Teleconnection? [in “Explaining Extreme Events of 2016 from a Climate Perspective”]. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **99** (1), S54–S59, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0118.1.

EDITORIAL AND PRODUCTION TEAM

Riddle, Deborah B., Lead Graphics Production, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Love-Brotak, S. Elizabeth, Graphics Support, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Veasey, Sara W., Visual Communications Team Lead, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Fulford, Jennifer, Editorial Support, Telesolv Consulting LLC, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Griffin, Jessica, Graphics Support, Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites-NC, North Carolina State University, Asheville, NC

Misch, Deborah J., Graphics Support, Telesolv Consulting LLC, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Osborne, Susan, Editorial Support, Telesolv Consulting LLC, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Sprain, Mara, Editorial Support, LAC Group, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

Young, Teresa, Graphics Support, Telesolv Consulting LLC, NOAA/NESDIS National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	ii
1. Introduction to Explaining Extreme Events of 2016 from a Climate Perspective	1
2. Explaining Extreme Ocean Conditions Impacting Living Marine Resources	7
3. CMIP5 Model-based Assessment of Anthropogenic Influence on Record Global Warmth During 2016.....	11
4. The Extreme 2015/16 El Niño, in the Context of Historical Climate Variability and Change	16
5. Ecological Impacts of the 2015/16 El Niño in the Central Equatorial Pacific	21
6. Forcing of Multiyear Extreme Ocean Temperatures that Impacted California Current Living Marine Resources in 2016	27
7. CMIP5 Model-based Assessment of Anthropogenic Influence on Highly Anomalous Arctic Warmth During November–December 2016.....	34
8. The High Latitude Marine Heat Wave of 2016 and Its Impacts on Alaska.....	39
9. Anthropogenic and Natural Influences on Record 2016 Marine Heat waves.....	44
10. Extreme California Rains During Winter 2015/16: A Change in El Niño Teleconnection?.....	49
11. Was the January 2016 Mid-Atlantic Snowstorm "Jonas" Symptomatic of Climate Change?.....	54
12. Anthropogenic Forcings and Associated Changes in Fire Risk in Western North America and Australia During 2015/16.....	60
13. A Multimethod Attribution Analysis of the Prolonged Northeast Brazil Hydrometeorological Drought (2012–16).....	65
14. Attribution of Wintertime Anticyclonic Stagnation Contributing to Air Pollution in Western Europe.....	70
15. Analysis of the Exceptionally Warm December 2015 in France Using Flow Analogues.....	76
16. Warm Winter, Wet Spring, and an Extreme Response in Ecosystem Functioning on the Iberian Peninsula	80
17. Anthropogenic Intensification of Southern African Flash Droughts as Exemplified by the 2015/16 Season	86
18. Anthropogenic Enhancement of Moderate-to-Strong El Niño Events Likely Contributed to Drought and Poor Harvests in Southern Africa During 2016	91
19. Climate Change Increased the Likelihood of the 2016 Heat Extremes in Asia	97
20. Extreme Rainfall (R20mm, RX5day) in Yangtze–Huai, China, in June–July 2016: The Role of ENSO and Anthropogenic Climate Change.....	102
21. Attribution of the July 2016 Extreme Precipitation Event Over China’s Wuhang	107
22. Do Climate Change and El Niño Increase Likelihood of Yangtze River Extreme Rainfall?.....	113
23. Human Influence on the Record-breaking Cold Event in January of 2016 in Eastern China.....	118
24. Anthropogenic Influence on the Eastern China 2016 Super Cold Surge.....	123
25. The Hot and Dry April of 2016 in Thailand.....	128
26. The Effect of Increasing CO ₂ on the Extreme September 2016 Rainfall Across Southeastern Australia.....	133
27. Natural Variability Not Climate Change Drove the Record Wet Winter in Southeast Australia	139
28. A Multifactor Risk Analysis of the Record 2016 Great Barrier Reef Bleaching	144
29. Severe Frosts in Western Australia in September 2016.....	150
30. Future Challenges in Event Attribution Methodologies.....	155

This sixth edition of explaining extreme events of the previous year (2016) from a climate perspective is the first of these reports to find that some extreme events were not possible in a preindustrial climate. The events were the 2016 record global heat, the heat across Asia, as well as a marine heat wave off the coast of Alaska. While these results are novel, they were not unexpected. Climate attribution scientists have been predicting that eventually the influence of human-caused climate change would become sufficiently strong as to push events beyond the bounds of natural variability alone. It was also predicted that we would first observe this phenomenon for heat events where the climate change influence is most pronounced. Additional retrospective analysis will reveal if, in fact, these are the first events of their kind or were simply some of the first to be discovered.

Last year, the editors emphasized the need for additional papers in the area of “impacts attribution” that investigate whether climate change’s influence on the extreme event can subsequently be directly tied to a change in risk of the socio-economic or environmental impacts. Several papers in this year’s report address this challenge, including Great Barrier Reef bleaching, living marine resources in the Pacific, and ecosystem productivity on the Iberian Peninsula. This is an increase over the number of impact attribution papers than in the past, and are hopefully a sign that research in this area will continue to expand in the future.

Other extreme weather event types in this year’s edition include ocean heat waves, forest fires, snow storms, and frost, as well as heavy precipitation, drought, and extreme heat and cold events over land. There were

a number of marine heat waves examined in this year’s report, and all but one found a role for climate change in increasing the severity of the events. While human-caused climate change caused China’s cold winter to be less likely, it did not influence U.S. storm Jonas which hit the mid-Atlantic in winter 2016.

As in past years, the papers submitted to this report are selected prior to knowing the final results of whether human-caused climate change influenced the event. The editors have and will continue to support the publication of papers that find no role for human-caused climate change because of their scientific value in both assessing attribution methodologies and in enhancing our understanding of how climate change is, and is not, impacting extremes. In this report, twenty-one of the twenty-seven papers in this edition identified climate change as a significant driver of an event, while six did not. Of the 131 papers now examined in this report over the last six years, approximately 65% have identified a role for climate change, while about 35% have not found an appreciable effect.

Looking ahead, we hope to continue to see improvements in how we assess the influence of human-induced climate change on extremes and the continued inclusion of stakeholder needs to inform the growth of the field and how the results can be applied in decision making. While it represents a considerable challenge to provide robust results that are clearly communicated for stakeholders to use as part of their decision-making processes, these annual reports are increasingly showing their potential to help meet such growing needs.